

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Yuba)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JEREMY TATE PATTY,

Defendant and Appellant.

C087601

(Super. Ct. No. CRF162257)

Appointed counsel for defendant Jeremy Tate Patty filed an opening brief setting forth the facts of the case and asking this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) We affirm the judgment.

On December 2, 2016, law enforcement officers conducted a search of the home where defendant was the only resident. During that search, officers found a plastic baggie containing 2.9 grams of crystal methamphetamine, two loaded nine-millimeter pistols, several empty baggies, a digital scale, a water bong, and a red plastic cup with “crystalline residue” on it.

The People charged defendant with two counts of being a felon in possession of a firearm (Pen. Code, § 29800, subd. (a)), being a felon in possession of ammunition (Pen. Code, § 30305, subd. (a)(1)), and misdemeanor possession of methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a)). Defendant pleaded no contest to being a felon in possession of a firearm and agreed to serve a 16-month term in state prison, concurrent to the term he was serving in another county.

In exchange for defendant's plea, the People moved to dismiss the remaining charges. The trial court granted the People's motion. The court sentenced defendant to 16 months in state prison, the term to be served concurrent to the term defendant was already serving in another county. The court ordered defendant to pay various fines and fees and awarded him 73 days of custody credit.

Appointed counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and asks us to determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Counsel advised defendant of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the People filing the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we have received no communication from defendant.

We have undertaken an examination of the entire record and find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

RAYE, P. J.

We concur:

HOCH, J.

RENNER, J.